Cas	e 2:16-cv-02201-SVW-JEM Document 5	Filed 04/11/16 Page 1 of 5 Page ID #:19					
. 1		FILED					
2		CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT					
3		Apr 11, 2016					
4		CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
5		BY: PMC DEPUTY					
6							
7							
8		DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA JS-6					
9	JAMES GAINES,	CT OF CALIFORNIA JS-0					
10	JAMES GAINES,	CASE NUMBER:					
11		CV 16-2201-SVW (JEMx)					
12	Plaintiff v.						
13	KENT DENNIS,						
14		ORDER REMANDING CASE TO STATE COURT					
15	D.C. L.W.						
16	Defendant(s).						
17	The Court sua sponte REMANDS this action to the California Superior Court for the						
18							
19	County of Los Angeles for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, as set forth below. "The right of removal is entirely a creature of statute and 'a suit commenced in a state						
20	court must remain there until cause is shown for its transfer under some act of Congress."						
21							
22	Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc. v. Henson, 537 U.S. 28, 32 (2002) (quoting Great Northern R. Co. v. Alexander, 246 U.S. 276, 280 (1918)). Where Congress has acted to create a right of removal,						
23	those statutes are strictly construed against removal jurisdiction. <u>Id.</u> : <u>Nevada v. Bank of America</u>						
24	Corp., 672 F.3d 661, 667 (9th Cir. 2012); Gaus v. Miles, Inc., 980 F.2d 564, 566 (9th Cir. 1992).						
25	Unless otherwise expressly provided by Congress, a defendant may remove "any civil						
26	action brought in a State court of which the district courts of the United States have original						
27	jurisdiction." 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a); <u>Dennis v. Hart</u> , 724 F.3d 1249, 1252 (9th Cir. 2013). The						
28	removing defendant bears the burden of establishing federal jurisdiction. Abrego Abrego v.						
	•	C TIOLOGO TIOLOGO V.					
	CV-136 (12/14) ORDER REMANDING CASE TO STATE COURT Page 1 of 3						

Dow Chemical Co., 443 F.3d 676, 682 (9th Cir. 2006); Gaus, 980 F.2d at 566-67. "Under the plain terms of § 1441(a), in order properly to remove [an] action pursuant to that provision, [the removing defendant] must demonstrate that original subject-matter jurisdiction lies in the federal courts." Syngenta Crop Protection, 537 U.S. at 33. Failure to do so requires that the case be remanded, as "[s]ubject matter jurisdiction may not be waived, and . . . the district court must remand if it lacks jurisdiction." Kelton Arms Condo. Owners Ass'n v. Homestead Ins. Co., 346 F.3d 1190, 1192 (9th Cir. 2003). "If at any time before final judgment it appears that the district court lacks subject matter jurisdiction, the case shall be remanded." 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c). It is "elementary that the subject matter jurisdiction of the district court is not a waivable matter and may be raised at anytime by one of the parties, by motion or in the responsive pleadings, or *sua sponte* by the trial or reviewing court." Emrich v. Touche Ross & Co., 846 F.2d 1190, 1194 n.2 (9th Cir. 1988).

From a review of the Notice of Removal and the state court records provided, it is evident that the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over the instant case, for the following reasons.

- No basis for federal question jurisdiction has been identified:
 - The Complaint does not include any claim "arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
 - Removing defendant(s) asserts that the affirmative defenses at issue give rise to federal question jurisdiction, but "the existence of federal jurisdiction depends solely on the plaintiff's claims for relief and not on anticipated defenses to those claims." ARCO Envtl. Remediation, L.L.C. v. Dept. of Health and Envtl. Quality, 213 F.3d 1108, 1113 (9th Cir. 2000). An "affirmative defense based on federal law" does not "render[] an action brought in state court removable." Berg v. Leason, 32 F.3d 422, 426 (9th Cir. 1994). A "case may not be removed to federal court on the basis of a federal defense . . . even if the defense is anticipated in the plaintiff's complaint, and even if both parties admit that the defense is the only question truly at issue in the case." Franchise Tax Bd. v. Construction Laborers Vacation Trust, 463 U.S. 1, 14 (1983).
 - The underlying action is an unlawful detainer proceeding, arising under and governed by the laws of the State of California.

ORDER REMANDING CASE TO STATE COURT

CV-136 (12/14)

Case 2:16-cv-02201-SVW-JEM Document 5 Filed 04/11/16 Page 3 of 5 Page ID #:21

FILED

AO 240 (Rev. 07/10) Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs (Short Form)

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	S DISTRICT COURT	2016 MAR 31 PM 12: 11
	ict of California	CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. LOS ANGELES
JAMES GAINES) .	LOS ANGELES
Plaintiff/Petitioner v.) Civil Action No.	The Committee of the Co
KENT DENNIS, DOES 1 TO 10) CIVII ACTION NO.	TTAN
Defendant/Respondent	5 CV16-022	201 SVW (JEM)
APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN DISTRICT C (Short	COURT WITHOUT PREPAYING rt Form)	G FEES OR COSTS
I am a plaintiff or petitioner in this case and declare that I am entitled to the relief requested.	e that I am unable to pay the costs o	of these proceedings and
In support of this application, I answer the followir	ng questions under penalty of perju	ry:
1. If incarcerated. I am being held at: If employed there, or have an account in the institution, I happropriate institutional officer showing all receipts, expending the last six months.	ditures, and balances during the las	t six months for any
2. If not incarcerated. If I am employed, my emplo	oyer's name and address are:	
My gross pay or wages are: \$, and my	y take-home pay or wages are: \$	1,000.00 per
(specify pay period) month		
3. Other Income. In the past 12 months, I have received	ived income from the following sou	rces (check all that apply):
 (a) Business, profession, or other self-employment (b) Rent payments, interest, or dividends (c) Pension, annuity, or life insurance payments (d) Disability, or worker's compensation payments 	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No	

If you answered "Yes" to any question above, describe below or on separate pages each source of money and state the amount that you received and what you expect to receive in the future.

☐ Yes

□ Yes

No No

Ø No

(e) Gifts, or inheritances

(f) Any other sources

Case 2:16-cv-02201-SVW-JEM Document 5 Filed 04/11/16 Page 5 of 5 Page ID #:23

AO 240	(Rev. 07/10) Application to Proceed in Distric	ct Court Without Prepaying I	Fees or Costs (Short Form)		
	4. Amount of money that I hav	e in cash or in a chec	king or savings accou	nt: \$	35.00 .
thing value): na	5. Any automobile, real estate, of value that I own, including any	stock, bond, security item of value held in	, trust, jewelry, art wo someone else's name	rk, or other finan (describe the proper	cial instrument or ty and its approximate
		•			
the amo Rent:	6. Any housing, transportation, ount of the monthly expense): 950 Utilities: 140, Groceries: 450	utilities, or loan payn	nents, or other regular	monthly expense	S (describe and provide
	•				
with e	7. Names (or, if under 18, initia ach person, and how much I contributed to the second	ibute to their support:		•	my relationship
N/A	8. Any debts or financial obliga	tions (describe the amou	nts owed and to whom they	are payable):	
statem	Declaration: I declare under per ent may result in a dismissal of my	nalty of perjury that the claims.	ne above information i	s true and unders	tand that a false
Date:	03/31/2016		Kamt J	Applicant's signature	2
				Kent Dennis	
			****	Printed name	